5973 measured reflections

 $R_{\rm int} = 0.031$ 

1517 independent reflections

1336 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$ 

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

# 4,4'-Bipyridine-*trans,trans*-hexa-2,4dienedioic acid (1/1)

## Suk-Hee Moon<sup>a</sup> and Ki-Min Park<sup>b\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Food and Nutrition, Kyungnam College of Information and Technology, Busan 617-701, Republic of Korea, and <sup>b</sup>Department of Chemistry and Research Institute of Natural Sciences, Gyeongsang, National University, Jinju 660-701, Republic of Korea Correspondence e-mail: kmpark@gnu.ac.kr

Received 20 March 2012; accepted 22 March 2012

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; T = 173 K; mean  $\sigma$ (C–C) = 0.002 Å; *R* factor = 0.040; *wR* factor = 0.116; data-to-parameter ratio = 14.6.

The title cocrystal,  $C_{10}H_8N_2 \cdot C_6H_6O_4$ , crystallizes with halfmolecules of 4,4'-bipyridine and *trans,trans*-hexa-2,4-dienedioic acid in the asymmetric unit, as each is located about a crystallographic inversion center. The bipyridine molecule is planar from symmetry. In the dicarboxylic acid molecule, the O-C-C-C torsion angle is -13.0 (2)°. In the crystal, O- $H \cdot \cdot \cdot N$  and  $C-H \cdot \cdot \cdot O$  hydrogen bonds generate a threedimensional network.

### **Related literature**

For cocrystals of carboxylic acid and pyridine, see: Bhogala & Nangia (2003); Hou *et al.* (2008); Jiang & Hou (2012). For background to the applications of cocrystals, see: Bhogala & Nangia (2003); Gao *et al.* (2004); Hori *et al.* (2009); Weyna *et al.* (2009).



### **Experimental**

Crystal data

 $\begin{array}{l} C_{10}H_8N_2\cdot C_6H_6O_4\\ M_r = 298.29\\ \text{Triclinic, } P\overline{1}\\ a = 5.8481 \ (5) \ \text{\AA}\\ b = 7.6348 \ (6) \ \text{\AA}\\ c = 8.4677 \ (7) \ \text{\AA}\\ \alpha = 91.837 \ (5)^\circ\\ \beta = 92.584 \ (5)^\circ \end{array}$ 

 $\gamma = 111.907 (4)^{\circ}$   $V = 349.93 (5) Å^{3}$  Z = 1Mo K $\alpha$  radiation  $\mu = 0.10 \text{ mm}^{-1}$  T = 173 K $0.40 \times 0.26 \times 0.24 \text{ mm}$ 

#### Data collection

Bruker APEXII CCD

```
diffractometer
Absorption correction: multi-scan
(SADABS; Sheldrick, 1996)
T_{\min} = 0.960, T_{\max} = 0.976
```

#### Refinement

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.040$	H atoms treated by a mixture of
$wR(F^2) = 0.116$	independent and constrained
S = 1.04	refinement
1517 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.24 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
104 parameters	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.25 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$

# Table 1

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å,  $^\circ).$ 

$D - H \cdots A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdots \mathbf{A}$
$D1 - H1 \cdot \cdot \cdot N1$	1.06 (2)	1.58 (2)	2.6148 (14)	164 (2)
$C2 - H2 \cdot \cdot \cdot O2^{i}$	0.95	2.65	3.5130 (15)	151
C3−H3···O2 <sup>ii</sup>	0.95	2.58	3.4457 (16)	152
C4−H4···O1 <sup>iii</sup>	0.95	2.58	3.4848 (17)	160
$C8 - H8 \cdots O2^{iv}$	0.95	2.56	3.3555 (17)	141

Symmetry codes: (i) x - 1, y, z; (ii) -x + 1, -y + 3, -z; (iii) -x, -y + 2, -z + 1; (iv) -x + 1, -y + 2, -z.

Data collection: *APEX2* (Bruker, 2006); cell refinement: *SAINT* (Bruker, 2006); data reduction: *SAINT*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXTL* (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXTL*; molecular graphics: *SHELXTL* and *DIAMOND* (Brandenburg, 1998); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXTL*.

This research was supported by the Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) funded by the Ministry of Education, Science and Technology (grant No. 2011-0026744).

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: SJ5219).

#### References

Bhogala, B. R. & Nangia, A. (2003). Cryst. Growth Des. 3, 547-554.

- Brandenburg, K. (1998). DIAMOND. Crystal Impact GbR, Bonn, Germany. Bruker (2006). APEX2 and SAINT. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Gao, X. C., Friscic, T. & MacGillivray, L. R. (2004). Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 43, 232–236.
- Hori, A., Takatani, S., Miyamoto, T. K. & Hasegawa, M. (2009). *CrystEngComm*, **11**, 567–569.
- Hou, G.-G., Liu, L.-L., Ma, J.-P., Huang, R.-Q. & Dong, Y.-B. (2008). Acta Cryst. E64, 0997.
- Jiang, Y.-K. & Hou, G.-G. (2012). Acta Cryst. E68, o6.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1996). SADABS. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112-122.
- Weyna, D. R., Shattock, T., Vishweshwar, P. & Zaworotko, M. J. (2009). Cryst. Growth Des. 9, 1106–1123.

# supplementary materials

Acta Cryst. (2012). E68, o1201 [doi:10.1107/S1600536812012391]

# 4,4'-Bipyridine-trans,trans-hexa-2,4-dienedioic acid (1/1)

# Suk-Hee Moon and Ki-Min Park

## Comment

Considerable effort has been devoted to form co-crystals made up of two or more components because of their potential applications in pharmaceutical chemisty (Weyna *et al.*, 2009), supramolecular chemistry (Bhogala & Nangia, 2003; Gao *et al.*, 2004) and materials chemistry (Hori *et al.*, 2009). In particular, numerous studies have focused on hydrogen bonding between carboxylic acid and pyridine molecules (Bhogala & Nangia, 2003; Hou *et al.*, 2008; Jiang & Hou, 2012). We report here the structure of a co-crystal of *trans, trans*-hexa-2,4-dienedioic acid with 4,4'-bipyridine in the solid state.

The title compound is shown in Fig. 1. The asymmetric unit contains half-molecules of 4,4'-bipyridine and *trans,trans*-1,3 -butadiene-1,4-dicarboxylic acid each located on crystallographic inversion centers. Both components are planar by symmetry and tilted by  $32.02 (7)^{\circ}$  with respect to each other.

In the crystal, the dicarboxylic acid molecules are arranged side by side by intermolecular C—H···O hydrogen bonds between the dicarboxylic acid molecules, leading to the formation of a one dimensional chain. Moreover, intermolecular O—H···N and C—H···O hydrogen bonds between dicarboxylic acid and 4,4'-bipyridine molecules generate a threedimensional network (Fig. 2, Table 1).

## **Experimental**

A mixture of stoichiometric amounts of *trans*, *trans*-hexa-2,4-dienedioic acid and 4,4'-bipyridine in DMF (in a 1:1 volume ratio) was heated until the two components dissolved and was then kept at room temperature. Upon slow evaporation of the solvent, *X*–ray quality single crystals were obtained.

## Refinement

The carboxyl-H atom was located in a difference Fourier map and refined isotropically.  $Csp^2$  H atoms were positioned geometrically and refined using a riding model with d(C-H) = 0.95 Å and  $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(C)$ .

# **Computing details**

Data collection: *APEX2* (Bruker, 2006); cell refinement: *SAINT* (Bruker, 2006); data reduction: *SAINT* (Bruker, 2006); program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXTL* (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXTL* (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: *SHELXTL* and *DIAMOND* (Brandenburg, 1998); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXTL* (Sheldrick, 2008).



# Figure 1

The molecular structure of the title compound, showing displacement ellipsoids drawn at the 50% probability level. Hydrogen bonds are shown as dashed lines. (Symmetry codes: i) -x + 1, -y + 1, -z + 1; ii) -x, -y + 3, -z.)



# Figure 2

Crystal packing of the title compound with intermolecular O—H···N and C—H···O hydrogen bonds shown as dashed lines. (Symmetry codes: i) -x + 1, -y + 1, -z + 1; ii) -x, -y + 2, -z + 1; iii) x, y - 1, z + 1; iv) -x + 1, -y + 2, -z + 1; v) x + 1, y + 1, z + 1; vi) -x + 1, -y + 2, -z + 1; v) x + 1, y + 1, z + 1; vi) -x + 1, -y + 2, -z - 1; v) x + 1, y + 1, z + 1; vi) -x + 1, -y + 2, -z - 1; v) x + 1, y - 1, z - 1; vi) -x + 1, -y + 2, -z - 1; v) x + 1, -y - 2, -z - 1; v) -x + 1, -y - 2, -z - 1; v) -x - 1; v) -x - 1, -y - 2, -z - 1; v) -x - 1; v)

# 4,4'-Bipyridine-trans,trans-hexa-2,4-dienedioic acid (1/1)

Crystal data	
$C_{10}H_8N_2 \cdot C_6H_6O_4$ $M_r = 298.29$ Triclinic, <i>P</i> 1 Hall symbol: -P 1 a = 5.8481(5) Å	Z = 1 F(000) = 156 $D_x = 1.415 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Mo <i>Ka</i> radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ Å}$ Cell parameters from 4151 reflections
b = 7.6348 (6)  Å c = 8.4677 (7)  Å $a = 91.837 (5)^{\circ}$ $\beta = 92.584 (5)^{\circ}$ $\gamma = 111.907 (4)^{\circ}$ $V = 349.93 (5) \text{ Å}^{3}$	$\theta = 2.4-28.4^{\circ}$ $\mu = 0.10 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 173  K Block, colourless $0.40 \times 0.26 \times 0.24 \text{ mm}$
Data collection Bruker APEXII CCD diffractometer Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube Graphite monochromator	$\varphi$ and $\omega$ scans Absorption correction: multi-scan ( <i>SADABS</i> ; Sheldrick, 1996) $T_{\min} = 0.960, T_{\max} = 0.976$

5973 measured reflections	$\theta_{\rm max} = 27.0^{\circ},  \theta_{\rm min} = 2.4^{\circ}$
1517 independent reflections	$h = -7 \rightarrow 7$
1336 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$	$k = -9 \rightarrow 9$
$R_{\rm int} = 0.031$	$l = -10 \rightarrow 10$

Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier Least-squares matrix: full map Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement  $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_0^2) + (0.0688P)^2 + 0.0753P]$ where  $P = (F_0^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ Primary atom site location: structure-invariant  $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001$  $\Delta \rho_{\text{max}} = 0.24 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$  $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.25 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$ 

## Special details

Refinement

Refinement on  $F^2$ 

 $wR(F^2) = 0.116$ 

1517 reflections

104 parameters 0 restraints

direct methods

S = 1.04

 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.040$ 

Geometry. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.

**Refinement**. Refinement of  $F^2$  against ALL reflections. The weighted *R*-factor w*R* and goodness of fit S are based on  $F^2$ , conventional *R*-factors *R* are based on *F*, with *F* set to zero for negative  $F^2$ . The threshold expression of  $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$  is used only for calculating R-factors(gt) etc. and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. R-factors based on  $F^2$ are statistically about twice as large as those based on F, and R- factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

	x	У	Ζ	$U_{ m iso}$ */ $U_{ m eq}$	
01	0.05949 (18)	1.05053 (14)	0.23387 (13)	0.0414 (3)	
H1	0.184 (4)	0.987 (3)	0.271 (3)	0.084 (7)*	
O2	0.37461 (17)	1.23144 (14)	0.09959 (12)	0.0394 (3)	
C1	0.1653 (2)	1.18769 (18)	0.13952 (14)	0.0285 (3)	
C2	-0.0033 (2)	1.28402 (18)	0.09016 (15)	0.0299 (3)	
H2	-0.1740	1.2262	0.1077	0.036*	
C3	0.0786 (2)	1.44912 (17)	0.02208 (14)	0.0288 (3)	
H3	0.2485	1.5031	0.0013	0.035*	
N1	0.3031 (2)	0.85144 (15)	0.34658 (13)	0.0331 (3)	
C4	0.2266 (3)	0.7745 (2)	0.48325 (17)	0.0374 (3)	
H4	0.1161	0.8150	0.5389	0.045*	
C5	0.3007 (3)	0.6385 (2)	0.54775 (16)	0.0350 (3)	
Н5	0.2419	0.5882	0.6458	0.042*	
C6	0.4615 (2)	0.57572 (16)	0.46878 (14)	0.0262 (3)	
C7	0.5464 (3)	0.6613 (2)	0.32875 (16)	0.0351 (3)	
H7	0.6611	0.6270	0.2719	0.042*	
C8	0.4627 (3)	0.79683 (19)	0.27252 (16)	0.0362 (3)	
H8	0.5224	0.8532	0.1766	0.043*	

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters  $(\hat{A}^2)$ 

	$U^{11}$	$U^{22}$	$U^{33}$	$U^{12}$	$U^{13}$	$U^{23}$
01	0.0346 (5)	0.0401 (6)	0.0593 (7)	0.0222 (4)	0.0127 (5)	0.0259 (5)
02	0.0314 (5)	0.0469 (6)	0.0488 (6)	0.0229 (4)	0.0109 (4)	0.0174 (5)
C1	0.0283 (6)	0.0293 (6)	0.0319 (6)	0.0148 (5)	0.0032 (5)	0.0056 (5)
C2	0.0260 (6)	0.0327 (7)	0.0355 (6)	0.0156 (5)	0.0037 (5)	0.0077 (5)
C3	0.0270 (6)	0.0324 (6)	0.0316 (6)	0.0156 (5)	0.0042 (5)	0.0067 (5)
N1	0.0322 (6)	0.0287 (6)	0.0407 (6)	0.0141 (5)	-0.0015 (5)	0.0074 (4)
C4	0.0397 (7)	0.0387 (7)	0.0434 (7)	0.0248 (6)	0.0073 (6)	0.0078 (6)
C5	0.0406 (7)	0.0390 (7)	0.0339 (7)	0.0234 (6)	0.0080 (5)	0.0106 (5)
C6	0.0253 (6)	0.0255 (6)	0.0291 (6)	0.0110 (5)	-0.0015 (5)	0.0030 (5)
C7	0.0372 (7)	0.0377 (7)	0.0373 (7)	0.0205 (6)	0.0084 (5)	0.0114 (6)
C8	0.0395 (7)	0.0351 (7)	0.0382 (7)	0.0176 (6)	0.0055 (6)	0.0135 (6)

Atomic displacement parameters  $(Å^2)$ 

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

01—C1	1.3162 (15)	C4—C5	1.3839 (18)
O1—H1	1.06 (2)	C4—H4	0.9500
O2—C1	1.2096 (16)	C5—C6	1.3907 (17)
C1—C2	1.4873 (16)	С5—Н5	0.9500
C2—C3	1.3310 (18)	C6—C7	1.3929 (18)
С2—Н2	0.9500	C6—C6 <sup>ii</sup>	1.492 (2)
C3—C3 <sup>i</sup>	1.452 (2)	С7—С8	1.3872 (17)
С3—Н3	0.9500	С7—Н7	0.9500
N1—C8	1.3286 (17)	C8—H8	0.9500
N1—C4	1.3337 (18)		
C1	110 3 (13)	С5—С4—Н4	118 5
02-C1-01	124 23 (11)	C4-C5-C6	119.86 (12)
02-C1-C2	124.24 (11)	C4—C5—H5	120.1
01-C1-C2	111.52 (10)	С6—С5—Н5	120.1
C3—C2—C1	121.76 (12)	C5—C6—C7	116.57 (11)
С3—С2—Н2	119.1	C5—C6—C6 <sup>ii</sup>	121.53 (14)
C1—C2—H2	119.1	C7—C6—C6 <sup>ii</sup>	121.90 (14)
C2-C3-C3 <sup>i</sup>	123.31 (15)	C8—C7—C6	119.78 (12)
С2—С3—Н3	118.3	С8—С7—Н7	120.1
C3 <sup>i</sup> —C3—H3	118.3	С6—С7—Н7	120.1
C8—N1—C4	117.62 (11)	N1—C8—C7	123.05 (12)
N1—C4—C5	123.05 (12)	N1—C8—H8	118.5
N1—C4—H4	118.5	С7—С8—Н8	118.5
O2—C1—C2—C3	-13.0 (2)	C4—C5—C6—C6 <sup>ii</sup>	-177.69 (14)
O1—C1—C2—C3	166.14 (12)	C5—C6—C7—C8	-2.2 (2)
C1—C2—C3—C3 <sup>i</sup>	-177.53 (14)	C6 <sup>ii</sup> —C6—C7—C8	177.72 (14)
C8—N1—C4—C5	-1.8 (2)	C4—N1—C8—C7	1.8 (2)
N1—C4—C5—C6	-0.3 (2)	C6—C7—C8—N1	0.2 (2)
C4—C5—C6—C7	2.3 (2)		~ /

Symmetry codes: (i) -*x*, -*y*+3, -*z*; (ii) -*x*+1, -*y*+1, -*z*+1.

# Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

D—H…A
2 11 11
164 (2)
151
152
160
141

Symmetry codes: (iii) *x*-1, *y*, *z*; (iv) -*x*+1, -*y*+3, -*z*; (v) -*x*, -*y*+2, -*z*+1; (vi) -*x*+1, -*y*+2, -*z*.